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ABSTRACT

Background: Bloodstream infections are serious ailments, that require prompt appropriate treatment. This is challenging with the growing number of multi-drug resistant organisms. Objective: The aim of this study was to genotypically characterize the carbapenem resistance among cephalosporin resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates causing bloodstream infections. Methodology: Twenty-eight enterobacterial isolates resistant to ceftazidime and cefepime causing bloodstream infections were collected. Their identification was confirmed using Vitek-2 system (Biomerieux, France), and their susceptibility pattern was assessed using disk diffusion method. They were genotypically tested for the presence of blaSHV, blaCTX-M as well as blaTEM. Furthermore, carbapenem resistant isolates were genotypically examined to detect blaKPC, blaIMP, blaNDM-1, blaVIM and blaOXA-48 genes. Results: The enterobacterial isolates were identified as Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli. Of these, (89.29%) were carbapenem resistant. Genotypically blaCTX-M, blaSHV and blaTEM were detected in (80%), (83.33%) and (63.33%) of the twenty-eight isolates, respectively. Moreover, (66.67%) and (60%) of the carbapenem resistant isolates possessed blaOXA-48 and blaNDM-1, respectively. Only one harbored blaVIM. Moreover, blaIMP and blaKPC were not detected among these isolates. Ten (35.71%) of the isolates harbored beta-lactamases genes belonging to three beta-lactamases classes (A, B and D). Conclusion: The co-occurrence of different beta-lactamases belonging to diverse classes and the co-existence of blaOXA-48 and blaNDM-1 among isolates causing bloodstream infections is quite alarming and necessitates prompt action.

INTRODUCTION

Bloodstream infections are known to be a major cause of morbidities and mortalities worldwide. Not only are they life threatening, but also therapeutically challenging, especially with rising antimicrobial resistance and a plethora of causative organisms. According to SENTRY program, Staphylococcus aureus as well as Escherichia coli were the predominant pathogens causing bloodstream infections, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Antimicrobial resistance is a growing global challenge, in which beta-lactamases play a significant role. Beta-lactamases are categorized into four major classes using Ambler classification. These classes are named (A, B, C as well as D). Class A comprises the greater share of the extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs). TEM, SHV as well as CTX-M are examples of ESBLs which belong to this class. ESBL producing Enterobacteriaceae isolates are frequent causes of bloodstream infections in developing countries in addition to the developed ones. ESBL-producing bacteria have spread extensively worldwide, which resulted in the widespread usage of carbapenems. This expanded use, contributed to a rise in resistance among various bacteria. Carbapenemases are enzymes which inactivate carbapenems, they are included within different Ambler classes. For instance, OXA-48 one of class D beta-lactamases and KPC one of class A beta-lactamases, are among the notable serine carbapenemases. Additionally, VIM, IMP and NDM are metallo-beta-lactamases which belong to class B beta-lactamases. Carbenapenem resistance among ESBL-producing enterobacterial isolates is a profound source of concern. Therefore, the aim of this study was to genotypically characterize the carbapenem resistance among cephalosporin resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates causing bloodstream infections.
METHODOLOGY

Isolates collection and identification:
Gram-negative isolates belonging to *Enterobacteriaceae* family, resistant to both ceftazidime as well as cefepime were collected from positive blood cultures from the microbiology laboratory of different hospitals in Alexandria, Egypt. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee, Faculty of Pharmacy, Pharos University in Alexandria. Identification of the collected isolates was confirmed using Vitek-2 system (Biomerieux, France).

Susceptibility testing:
Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was carried out by disk diffusion method, according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. The antibiotics used were ceftazidime (CAZ) and cefepime (FEP), in addition to imipenem (IPM), meropenem (MEM), levofloxacin (LEV), amikacin (AK) and gentamycin (CN).

Investigation of the different resistance genes:
All isolates were genotypically tested to detect ESBL genes (*blaTEM*, *blaSHV* and *blaCTX-M*) belonging to class A beta-lactamases. Moreover, isolates resistant to IPM and MEM, were genotypically investigated to detect carbapenemases-encoding genes which included *blaVIM*, *blaIMP* and *blaNDM-1* belonging to class B beta-lactamas, *blaOXA-48* belonging to class D beta-lactamas and *blaKPC* belonging to class A beta-lactamas.

Boiling method was used for the DNA extraction, as previously described. Amplification of the afore mentioned genes, was achieved using PCR, which was performed on BioRad T100™ Thermal Cycler (Biorad, CA, USA). The details of the PCR amplification scheme were: 5 minutes activation at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation, annealing and extension, then final extension step for 7 minutes at 72 °C. Denaturation was performed for 30 seconds at 95 °C and extension was performed for 1 minute at 72 °C, while annealing temperatures varied according to each set of primers as presented in (Table 1). The amplicons were, then, separated using gel electrophoresis on BioRad PowerPac Basic (BioRad, CA, USA), using (2%) agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml). The different primers used in this study are demonstrated in (Table 1), and they were all purchased from Invitrogen. (ThermoFischer, CA, USA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Nucleotide Sequence (5'–3')</th>
<th>Annealing temperature in °C</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>blaSHV</em> (F)</td>
<td>GCAAAACGCCGGGTATTTC</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaSHV</em> (R)</td>
<td>GGTTAGCGTTGCCCCATTGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaTEM</em> (F)</td>
<td>ATGAGTATTTCAACTTTCCG</td>
<td>46 °C</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaTEM</em> (R)</td>
<td>TAAATCAGTGAGGCACTAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaCTX-M</em> (F)</td>
<td>CGCGTTGCGATGTTCAG</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaCTX-M</em> (R)</td>
<td>ACCCGGATATCGTTGGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaKPC</em></td>
<td>TGTCACTGGTATCGCGTTC</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaKPC</em></td>
<td>CTCAGTGCTCTACAGAAAACC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaVIM</em> (F)</td>
<td>AGTGTTGATATCAGGCAG</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaVIM</em> (R)</td>
<td>ATGAAAGTGCATTGAGAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaIMP</em> (F)</td>
<td>CATGTTTGGTGCTTCTTGT</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaIMP</em> (R)</td>
<td>ATAATTTGCGGAGCTTTGGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaNDM-1</em> (F)</td>
<td>GGTGGCGATCTGGTTTTC</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaNDM-1</em> (R)</td>
<td>CGGAATGGCAGCACTACAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaOXA-48</em> (F)</td>
<td>AAATCACAGGGGCTAGTTGGT</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blaOXA-48</em> (R)</td>
<td>GACCCACAGCAATCTTAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Twenty-eight Enterobacteriaceae isolates (resistant to both CAZ and FEP) were collected from bloodstream infections. They were identified as K. pneumoniae as well as E. coli. Twenty-four of the 28 isolates (85.71%) were K. pneumoniae and four (10.71%) E. coli, this is shown in (Figure 1).

![Fig. 1: The different Enterobacteriaceae isolates](image)

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing:**

Twenty-five (89.26%) of the isolates were resistant to IPM and MEM. Moreover, 17 (60.71%) of the collected isolates were found resistant to CIP. The collective susceptibility patterns for all isolates are detailed in (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial agent</th>
<th>K. pneumoniae (n=24)</th>
<th>E. coli (n=4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAZ</td>
<td>24 (100%)</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEP</td>
<td>24 (100%)</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM</td>
<td>23 (95.83%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>23 (95.83%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>13 (54.17%)</td>
<td>2 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>16 (66.67%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>15 (62.50%)</td>
<td>5 (20.83%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Collective susceptibility pattern of the isolates**

- **ESBL genes belonging to class A beta-lactamases:**
  - Genotypically blaSHV, blaCTX-M, and blaTEM were identified in (89.29%), (85.71%), and (64.29%), respectively. These results are demonstrated in (Table 3).

- **Carbapenemases genes belonging to various beta-lactamases classes:**
  - Concerning class D beta-lactamases, fifteen (62.5%) of the 24 carbapenem resistant isolates harbored 
    **blaNDM-1**. On the other hand, **blaVIM** was detected in only one isolate. Additionally, **blaIMP** was not detected in any of the isolates. Concerning class D beta-lactamases 17 (70.83%) of the 24 isolates harbored 
    **blaOXA-48** gene. However, **blaKPC**, which belongs to class A beta-lactamases was not detected among the isolates. The details are demonstrated in (Table 4), and the pattern in which genes were distributed throughout the isolates is listed in (Table 5).
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Table 3: Distribution of ESBL genes belonging to class A beta-lactamases among the 28 isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class A beta-lactamases</th>
<th>blaSHV</th>
<th>blaTEM</th>
<th>blaCTX-M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>25 (89.29%)</td>
<td>18 (64.29%)</td>
<td>24 (85.71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3 (10.71%)</td>
<td>10 (35.71%)</td>
<td>4 (14.29%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of carbapenem resistance genes among the 24 isolates resistant to carbapenems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class A</th>
<th>Class B</th>
<th>Class D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blaKPC</td>
<td>blaIMP</td>
<td>blaVIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>24 (100%)</td>
<td>24 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Distribution of the different genes throughout the isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Number of isolates</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Different Genes</th>
<th>Beta-lactamases Classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K. pneumoniae (n=24)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaCTX-M+blaOXA-48+blaVIM+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B+D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaCTX-M+blaOXA-48+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B+D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaCTX-M+blaOXA-48+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B+D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaOXA-48+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B+D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaCTX-M+blaOXA-48+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B+D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaCTX-M+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaCTX-M+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaCTX-M</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaCTX-M</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli (n=4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP+IPM+MEM</td>
<td>blaSHV+blaTEM+blaCTX-M+blaOXA-48+blaVIM+blaNDM-1</td>
<td>A+B+D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP</td>
<td>blaTEM+blaCTX-M</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CAZ+FEP</td>
<td>blaCTX-M</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Bloodstream infections and sepsis are, indeed, critical ailments and the determination of the causative pathogen together with its susceptibility pattern is essential to properly manage them. The aim of this study was to genotypically characterize the carbapenem resistance among cephalosporin resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates causing bloodstream infections. Here, twenty-eight Enterobacteriaceae isolates (resistant to CAZ and FEP) causing bloodstream infections were collected. Most of the collected isolates 24 (85.71%) were identified as K. pneumoniae, and only 4 (14.29%) were identified as E. coli. Similarly, Abdulall et al., revealed that the most frequent isolate among all their collected isolates, was indeed K. pneumoniae. Conversely, Elseady et al., stated that the most frequently collected organism from blood cultures was E. coli (27.8%), followed by K. pneumoniae (12.2%). Concerning carbapenem resistance, many of the collected isolates 24 (85.71%) were carbapenem resistant. Moreover, the majority of K. pneumoniae (95.83%) were carbapenem resistant. Similarly,
Abdulall et al. reported that more than (70%) of their
*K. pneumoniae* were resistant to carbapenems. Using Magiorakos et al.,
criteria to define multi-drug resistant (MDR) organisms, 22 (78.58%) of the twenty-
eight isolates were MDR organisms. A high percentage
was also revealed in another study, where (68.6%) of
their isolates causing bloodstream infections were MDR
organisms.

ESBLs confer resistance to monobactams and
oxyimino-cephalosporins including CAZ and FEP,
however, they do not affect carbapenems. Here,
blaOXA, blaCTX-M, and blaTEM were detected
genotypically in (89.29%), (85.71%), and (64.29%),
respectively. Additionally, the twenty-eight isolates
harbored at least one ESBL gene belonging to class A
beta-lactamases. Tohamy et al., found that blaSHV,
blaCTX-M, and blaTEM were present in (44.3%),
(55.7%) and (31.4%) of their isolates causing
bloodstream infection, respectively. Another study,
reported that blaCTX-M prevalence among Gram-
negative bloodstream isolates was (11%), and that they
were most common among their *E. coli* isolates. Son
et al., stated that blaCTX-M was the most ESBL gene
detected among their *E. coli* isolates causing
bloodstream infections.

Carbapenemases genes were investigated among the
24 carbapenem resistant isolates. *blaOXA-48* was
detected in 16 (66.67%), followed by *blaNDM-1* in 15
(62.5%). However, *blaIMP* and *blaKPC* were not found
at all among the 24 isolates and *blaVIM* was detected in
only one isolate. Balkan et al., revealed that almost all
their isolates harbored *blaOXA-48*. Villegas et al., and
Abdulall et al., did not detect *blaOXA-48* gene among
their isolates causing bloodstream infections. Moreover,
Cayci et al., stated that only (1.3%) of their
enterobacterial isolates harbored *blaNDM* gene.

In this study, fifteen (65.22%) of the 23 *K. pneumoniae*
that were resistant to carbapenems carried
*blaOXA-48* gene, fourteen (60.87%) harbored
*blaNDM-1*, however none of them harbored
*blaKPC*. Ghaith et al., demonstrated that *blaOXA-48* was detected in
(60.8%) of their carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae*. In a previous study conducted in Alexandria, *blaOXA-
48* was detected in (96.67%) of the carbapenem resistant
*K. pneumoniae* isolates. Two other studies conducted by
Abdulall et al., and Ghaith et al., reported the occurrence of *blaNDM* in (28.6%) and (52.2%) of their
carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae*, respectively.
However, Ghaith et al., did not find *blaKPC* among
their isolates, while another study in Egypt reported that
*blaKPC* was present in 33.3% of their *K. pneumoniae*
isolates.

In this work, fifteen (60%) of the 24 isolates co-
harbored *blaNDM-1* together with different ESBL
genes. Also, 16 (66.67%) of the 24 isolates co-harbored
*blaOXA-48* together with different ESBL genes. Balkan
et al., reported that all their isolates except two carried
both *blaCTX-M* gene and *blaOXA-48*.

Here, fourteen (60.87%) of the 23 *K. pneumoniae*
resistant to carbapenems co-harbored *blaOXA-48* and
*blaNDM-1*. Ghaith et al., also reported the co-
existence of both genes together in 52.2% of their
isolates.

In this study, ten (35.71%) of the isolates harbored
beta-lactamases genes belonging to various Ambler
classes (A, B and D), while six (21.43%) isolates
harbored genes belonging to both class A and class D,
and five (17.86%) isolates harbored genes belonging to
classes A and B and all the isolates had at least one
ESBL gene.

**CONCLUSION**

The co-occurrence of different beta-lactamases
belonging to various classes and the co-existence of
*blaOXA-48* (serine carbapenemase) together with
*blaNDM-1* (metallo-beta-lactamase) among isolates
causing bloodstream infections is quite alarming and
necessitates prompt action.
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