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ABSTRACT

Background: In Egypt, the prevalence of plasmid-mediated class C (AmpC) beta-lactamases (β-lactamases) in Acinetobacter species is on the rise, and its resistance to a wide range of β-lactam drugs makes it a hazardous condition. Objectives: The aim of this research was to assess the occurrence of AmpC among Acinetobacter clinical isolates by phenotypic confirmatory tests and to compare them with PCR as the gold standard method. Methodology: The current study incorporated 50 Acinetobacter clinical isolates. Detection of AmpC-producing Acinetobacter isolates was done by two phenotypic methods; AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA and disk potentiation test (DPT) with aminophenyl boronic acid (APB) and then compared with genotypic detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC (pAmpC) gene(s) families by multiplex PCR as the gold reference technique. Results: According to the study’s findings, the frequency of AmpC-producing isolates was 68.8% by AmpC disk test, 72.9% by DPT with APB test, and 79.2% by PCR. The sensitivity of AmpC disk test and DPT with APB test was 86.8% and 92.1% respectively and the specificity was 100% for both tests. The CIT family of AmpC genes was the most common type to be found in the study (33.3%). Conclusion: Phenotypic detection of AmpC was more sensitive by DPT with APB test than the AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA. Phenotypic methods are influenced by false-negative results. Therefore, PCR remains the gold standard for detecting different forms of pAmpC gene families and determining their precise prevalence, enabling the taking of suitable actions to restrict the spread of resistant microorganisms.

INTRODUCTION

Acinetobacter baumannii takes the lead as the predominant species (spp.) incorporated in outbreaks and hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), specifically in intensive care units (ICUs), notably in cases of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). The rise of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Acinetobacter spp. has been aided by inappropriate usage of different antibiotics. Unfortunately, different classes of plasmid- and chromosomally-mediated β-lactamases (β-lactamases) have been discovered in A. baumannii, reducing the effectiveness of this class of antibiotics in the fight against their infection. Among the main resistance determinants found in A. baumannii are class C β-lactamases (AmpC). The ability of AmpC β-lactamases to deactivate cephamycins and other extended-spectrum cephalosporins (ESCs) as well as their resistance to clavulanic acid distinguish them from other β-lactamases.

Infections caused by Acinetobacter spp. that produce plasmid-mediated AmpC (pAmpC) β-lactamases generally exhibit resistance against all β-lactams; however, cefepime, cefpirome, and carbapenems offer few therapeutic options. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) has not issued any guidelines concerning the use of phenotypic methods in the investigation of organisms that produce AmpC. Several AmpC β-lactamase detection methods have been proposed; resistance to ceftazidime and/or cephamycins, preserving susceptibility to cefepime, amended cefoxitin Hodge assay, AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA, inhibitor-based tests [like boronic acid (BA) compounds] and cloxacillin, and rapid chromogenic assays.

Unfortunately, these methods prove inadequate for routine clinical application in microbiology laboratories.
and fail to detect all types of AmpC β-lactamases. Acinetobacter AmpC enzymes are detected by cefoxitin (FOX) screening and then by the confirmatory phenotypic tests. However, chromosomal \textit{ampC} genes and \textit{pamC} genes cannot be distinguished using phenotypic assays. The presence of \textit{ampC} genes can be detected via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis. Six \textit{pAmC} gene families (ACC, DHA, CIT, EBC, FOX, and MOX) were identified by using PCR.

**METHODOLOGY**

The current study was performed between November 2019 and April 2021. A total of 50 \textit{Acinetobacter} clinical isolates, acquired from the Strain Bank, Medical Microbiology & Immunology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University were used. These isolates were recovered from various clinical samples from hospitalized patients at Kasr Al Ainy University Hospitals. The study received approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the Institutional Review Board, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Further identification of all \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates up to spp. level and antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) was done at the Department of Microbiology of the Theodor Bilharz Research Institute (TBRI) by the automated VITEK® 2 compact system (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France).

The phenotypic tests were done at the Medical Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Screening for AmpC production was performed by using the modified Kirby Bauer (KB) disk diffusion method using FOX disks (30μg) (Oxoid, UK). According to CLSI criteria, isolates demonstrating resistance or intermediate resistance to FOX (zone diameter ≤ 18 mm) were deemed to be potential AmpC producers. All of the isolates that tested positive on the screening method underwent two further confirmatory phenotypic tests: AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA and DPT with APB test.

**AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA:**

The test was done following the Black et al. method by using FOX-sensitive \textit{E. coli} ATCC 25922 (obtained from the Microbiology Department of TBRI, Egypt). On a Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) plate, \textit{E. coli} ATCC 25922 was cultivated as a lawn culture (Becton-Dickinson, USA) plate. Many colonies of the test organism were inoculated onto 6 mm sterile disks moistened with 20 μl of a 1:1 saline and 100 X Tris-EDTA solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). On the agar plate, the inoculated disk was put next to a FOX disk, and incubation was performed at 35°C. Positive results were determined when there was an indentation or flattening in the inhibitory zones on the plates, indicating enzymatic inactivation of FOX, and negative results were determined when there was no visible indentation, indicating no enzymatic inactivation of FOX.

**Disk potentiation test with Aminophenylboronic acid:**

The MHA plate was swabbed with a colony of the test isolate. A cefazidime (CAZ) disk (Oxoid, UK) was infused with 300μg of APB after it had been previously dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at an ultimate concentration of 100mg/ml and a final volume of 0.3ml per disk. These disks (CAZ+APB) were put on MHA plates with CAZ disks at a 30 mm distance from center to center. The plates were then put in an incubator at 37°C overnight. The presence of a 5 mm or increased inhibitory zone around the disk containing both CAZ and APB compared to that containing CAZ alone was considered positive (i.e., AmpC producer).

**Genotypic detection of \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates harboring family-specific plasmid-mediated \textit{ampC} gene(s) by multiplex PCR:**

The genotypic method was done at the Medical Biochemistry Department (Molecular Biology Unit), Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Isolates that were screened positive by FOX disks were freshly subcultured on blood agar plates before performing DNA extraction. Bacterial DNA extraction was performed using the DNASure® Tissue Mini Kit (Genetix, New Delhi) following the instructions provided by the manufacturer.

Multiplex PCR technique was achieved to detect \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates harboring family-specific \textit{pamC} gene(s) according to Pérez-Pérez and Hanson. The final volume for the PCR reaction was 50 μl. The primers (6 pairs) used for PCR amplification; were manufactured by (Vivantis, Malaysia) and are listed in (Table 1). Each reaction tube contained 25 μl 2X Taq master mix: [Taq DNA polymerase (0.05μ/μl), 2X ViBuffer A (100mM KCl, 20mM TrisHCl (pH9.1 at 20°C) and (0.02% Triton™ X-100), 0.4 mM dNTPs, 3 mM MgCl2] (Vivantis, Malaysia), 17 μl nuclelease-free water, 0.25 μl of each primer, and 5 μl template DNA.

Using the thermal cycler (Biometa, Gottingen, Germany), the PCR cycling conditions involved a preliminary denaturation step (for 5 mins at 94°C). This is followed by 25 cycles of DNA denaturation for 30s at 94°C, primer annealing for 30s at 61°C, and primer extension for 30s at 72°C. Subsequently, a final extension step for 7 mins at 72°C was done.
Table 1: Primers used for the detection of family-specific pampC genes in *Acinetobacter* by multiplex PCR 18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Target (s)</th>
<th>Expected amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOXMF</td>
<td>GCT GCT CAA GGA GCA CAG GAT</td>
<td>MOX-1, MOX-2, CMY-1 CMY-8 to CMY-11</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOXMR</td>
<td>CAC ATT GAC ATA GGT GTG GTG C</td>
<td>MOX-1, MOX-2, CMY-1 CMY-8 To CMY-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITMF</td>
<td>TGG CCA GAA CTG ACA GGC AAA</td>
<td>LAT-1 to LAT-4 CMY-2 to CMY-7-BIL-1</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITMR</td>
<td>TTT CTC CGT AAC GTG GCT GGC</td>
<td>LAT-1 to LAT-4 CMY-2 to CMY-7-BIL-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAMF</td>
<td>AAC TTT CAC AGG TGT GCT GGG T</td>
<td>DHA-1, DHA-2</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAMR</td>
<td>CCG TAC GCA TAC TGG CTT TGC</td>
<td>DHA-1, DHA-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCMF</td>
<td>AAC AGC CTC AGC AGC CGG TTA</td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCMR</td>
<td>TTC GCC GCA ATC ATC CCT AGC</td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBCMF</td>
<td>TCG GTA AAG CCG ATG TTG CCG</td>
<td>MIR-1T, ACT-1</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBCM1</td>
<td>CTT CCA CGT CCG CTG CCA GTT</td>
<td>MIR-1T, ACT-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXMF</td>
<td>AAC ATG GGG TAT CAG GGA GAT G</td>
<td>FOX-1 to FOX-5b</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXMR</td>
<td>CAA AGC GCG TAA CCG GAT TGG</td>
<td>FOX-1 to FOX-5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to separate the amplified PCR products (Sci-Plas, Cambridge, UK) and then visualized under UV illumination, and photographed with the Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Gel Documentation system (Bio-Rad, California, USA) as previously described by Liu and Liu 20.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 was used for data coding and entry (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data summarizing was accomplished by employing absolute and relative frequencies (counts and percentages). Standard diagnostic indices like specificity, sensitivity, negative predictive value (NPV), positive predictive value (PPV), and diagnostic efficacy were computed. To assess the agreement between categorical variables, the Kappa measure of agreement was utilized. Statistical significance was determined by considering a p-value below 0.05 21.

**RESULTS**

Isolates of *Acinetobacter* were obtained from the following specimens: 16 (32%) sputum, 11 (22%) urine, 11 (22%) blood, 9 (18%) endotracheal aspirate, and 3 (6%) from wound swabs. The following isolates were isolated from patients with distinct types of infections: 15 (30%) had respiratory tract infections (RTI), 11 (22%) had septicemia, 10 (20%) had VAP, 6 (12%) had catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), 5 (10%) had non-catheter associated UTI and 3 (6%) had skin and soft tissues infection.

Identification of all 50 *Acinetobacter* isolates revealed that 42 isolates (84%) were *A. baumannii*, while 8 isolates (16%) were identified as *A. baumannii* complex. Due to the difficulty in phenotypic differentiation between spp., the term *A. baumannii* complex has been used and it is made up of five spp. that are phenotypically and genotypically related, with valid names: *A. baumannii, A. nosocomialis, A. pittii, A. calcoaceticus, A. seifertii* 22. Antibiotic susceptibility testing revealed widespread resistance to antibiotics, whereas 48 isolates (96%) were resistant to cefoxitin (FOX), piperacillin/tazobactam (TZP), ampicillin/sulbactam (SAM), ampicillin (AMP), cefazolin (CZ), ceftriaxone (CRO), cefazidime (CAZ), and cefepime (FEP). Meropenem (MEM) showed the least resistance rate; 39 (78%) of all tested β-lactam antibiotics. The resistance among non-β-lactam antibiotics was 48 (96%) to ciprofloxacin (CIP) and levofloxacin (LEV), 43 (86%) to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SXT), 42 (84%) to nitrofurantoin (NFT), 40 (80%) to tobramycin (TOB), and 30 (60%) to each of amikacin (AK) and gentamicin (CN) (Figure 1).
Screening of all the 50 *Acinetobacter* isolates revealed that 48 isolates (96%) were resistant to FOX by showing the diameter of the inhibitory zone surrounding the disk is ≤18 mm (potential AmpC producers) and out of all the 48 screened positive isolates by FOX disks, AmpC phenotype was confirmed in 33 (68.8%) and 35 (72.9%) of the isolates using the AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA and DPT with APB test respectively (Figure 2) (Figure 3).

Out of the 48 screened positive isolates by FOX disks, 38 (79%) were positive for the presence of *pampC* gene(s) by PCR. The most predominant *pampC* gene was that of the CIT family and was identified in 16 of the isolates (33.3%), followed by the FOX family; detected in 11 isolates (22.9%), then MOX 10 (20.8%), then DHA family 7 (14.6%). There were 6 isolates detected harboring more than one gene. No genes associated with either ACC or EBC families were identified (Figure 4) (Figure 5).
Lane 1: positive isolate harboring cit gene as denoted by a positive band at 462 bp
Lane 2: positive isolate harboring fox gene as denoted by a positive band at 190 bp
Lane 3: positive isolate harboring both mox and dha gene as denoted by a positive band at 520 and 405 bp
Lane 4: positive isolate harboring mox gene as denoted by a positive band at 520 bp

Both phenotypic testing techniques revealed a statistically significant (p-value 0.001) difference between the two outcomes. The AmpC disk test displayed a sensitivity of 86.8%, while DPT with APB test was more sensitive and displayed a sensitivity of 92.1%. Both tests showed 100% specificity (Table 2).

Table 2: Correlation between results of confirmatory phenotypic tests and PCR as the gold standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmpC disk test</td>
<td>33 (86.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.733*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (13.2%)</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPT with APB</td>
<td>35 (92.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.829**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (7.9%)</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (48 isolates)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kappa 0.733 is considered a good agreement.
** Kappa 0.829 is considered a very good agreement.
*** P-value < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.
DISCUSSION

In the current study, AST revealed an MDR pattern of *A. baumannii*. The MDR pattern of AmpC *A. baumannii* revealed by the AST could be related to the fact that the 50 strains of *A. baumannii* were mostly isolated from the ICU. In this study, the resistance of *A. baumannii* isolates to meropenem (MEM) was 39 isolates (78%), which could be linked to the spread of carbapenems’ resistance of the OXA type in *Acinetobacter*.

The current study’s findings were in agreement with Khalifa et al., who reported that 72.3% of the studied isolates were MEM resistant. The study done by Ibrahim et al. also demonstrated a 93.3% resistance to MEM.

In the present study, out of the 48 FOX-resistant isolates, 33 (68.8%) tested positive for AmpC by the AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA and 35 isolates (72.9%) were AmpC positive by DPT with APB test. In line with the findings of the present study, Sofy et al., found that 67.18% of FOX-resistant *A. baumannii* isolates were AmpC producers by AmpC disk test, while 78.1% of the isolates were AmpC producers by DPT with APB test. In contrast, much lower prevalence rates were documented by Batra et al., who reported that 12.9% of FOX-resistant *A. baumannii* isolates were positive by AmpC disk test, while 12.3% were positive by BA inhibition test. A much higher prevalence rate was found by Eshra et al., who detected 85.4% positivity by AmpC disk test.

Many studies considered PCR as the gold standard method for evaluating other procedures and approaches for identifying AmpC β-lactamases. In the current study, multiplex PCR demonstrated that 38 isolates (79.2%) were found positive for the presence of *pampC* genes. On comparing both phenotypic methods used in the current study with PCR; DPT with APB test showed higher sensitivity (92.1%) than AmpC disk test (86.8%), however, the specificity was 100% for both methods. The study by Wooken et al., indicated similar findings when comparing the AmpC disk test with the BA inhibition test. They found that better results were obtained by using the BA inhibition test (sensitivity 98.4%, specificity 92.2%) than the AmpC disk test (sensitivity 80.3%, specificity 91.6%).

It is worth highlighting that phenotypic methods may yield false-negative results due to the potential scenario where the genes are detectable through PCR, but their phenotypic expression remains undetectable. Testing with APB was very straightforward, extremely sensitive, and incredibly focused; as a result, it is completely appropriate for routine usage in clinical microbiology laboratories. However, Helmy and Wasfi noticed that the AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA showed higher specificity (100%) and sensitivity (78.3%) than the BA inhibition test which showed 65.2% sensitivity and 73.9% specificity on the studied FOX-resistant isolates.

In the current study, family-specific *pampC* β-lactamase gene(s) were detected in 38 *Acinetobacter* isolates (76%) by multiplex PCR. This agreed with Barwa et al., who reported a 60% prevalence of *pampC* genes in their study. Lower prevalence rates of *pampC* genes were reported by Helmy and Wasfi, and Ghoraim and Moaety who reported 27%, and 28.5%, respectively. The reasons that not all FOX-resistant isolates were detected to be harboring *pampC* β-lactamase gene(s) by PCR can be explained by the following: numerous enzymatic and non-enzymatic processes, including porin channel mutation, metallo-β-lactamases (MBLs), and extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) can result in FOX resistance.

In the present study, CIT is the most predominant gene family detected by multiplex PCR and was detected in 16 isolates (33.3%) followed by FOX which was detected in 11 isolates (22.9%), MOX detected in 10 isolates (20.8%), and DHA detected in 7 isolates (14.6%). In Egypt, these findings were in line with research conducted by Faim et al., and Helmy and Wasfi, whereby CIT was found in 76.5%, and 86.9% of the studied isolates, respectively. In the current study, no genes from the ACC or EBC families were found, and this was consistent with research by Gupta et al., and Batra et al., which found no evidence of the ACC or EBC family genes. The EBC-type AmpC β-lactamase was found to be more prevalent in *Klebsiella* spp.

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

Phenotypic detection of AmpC was more sensitive by DPT with APB test than the AmpC disk test with Tris-EDTA. False-negative results in phenotypic methods can occur due to the genes being detectable by PCR but not exhibiting phenotypic expression. Therefore, PCR remains the gold standard for detecting different forms of pAmpC gene families and determining their precise prevalence, enabling the taking of suitable actions to restrict the spread of resistant microorganisms. More surveillance studies on a larger scale on *pampC* genes and the quick development of innovative therapeutic agents to combat MDR pathogens are critical.
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